DATAWATCH

Which to Choose: ERCP vs. Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy?

Maria Shepherd • Data Decision Group

Thile not for good reasons, gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopes are in the news. As such, now is a good time to examine GI endoscopy vs. laparoscopic procedures for the treatment of common bile duct stones (BDS), also called lithiasis. In the United States, the prevalence of gall bladder disease is approximately 20.5 million (6.3 million men and 14.2 million women), and varies from 5.3 percent to 26.7 percent of the population, depending on gender and ethnicity.¹ Ethnic differences in gallbladder disease prevalence differ according to sex and were only partly explained by known risk factors.² In Europe, prevalence is reported to vary between 5.9 percent and 21.9 percent of the general population.³

Why It's Important

In a recent journal article, "Diagnosis and Management of Choledocholithiasis in the Golden Age of Imaging, Endoscopy and Laparoscopy," it was reported that 11 to 21 percent of patients with cholelithiasis have BDS at the time of surgery.^{45,6,7} Ideally, once in the common bile duct, BDS will flow to the duodenum. If the stones are larger than the diameter of the papilla, they may remain in the common bile duct. BDS are mostly asymptomatic, (as many as 50 percent of cases) but can obstruct bile flow, potentially causing jaundice, cholangitis or acute biliary pancreatitis.^{8,9} Cholelithiasis involves the presence of gallstones, which are concretic ns that form in the biliary tract, usually in the gallbladder. Choledoch lithiasis refers to the presence of one or more gallstones in the common bile duct.

The diagnosis and treatment of BDS has changed dramatically over the last quarter century, due to technology advances. In the 1990s, laparoscopic cholecystectomy (a procedure in which the gallbladder is removed by lavaroscopic techniques) changed the treatment of gallstone disease.^{10,11,12} Other techniques for BDS treatment were introduced, including lithotripsy, which uses ultrasonic shock waves to break up stones in the kidney, bladder, or ureter (the tube that carries urine from your kidneys to your bladder). As technologies advanced, medical societies developed more individualized treatments.13 Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) has changed options for the treatment of patients affected by BDS. ERCP is a technique that combines the use of endoscopy and fluoroscopy to diagnose and treat problems of the biliary or pancreatic ductal systems. Now, more than 600,000 cholecystectomy procedures per year are performed in the United States as compared to 445,000 ERCP procedures.^{14,15}

Symptoms, serology and ultrasound define the risk of carrying BDS to categorize low risk patients (sent directly to laparoscopic cholecystectomy). For patients diagnosed with intermediate to high risk of having BDS, there are two treatment pathways: the "laparoscopy-first" approach, and "endoscopy-first" attitude. Patients at high risk for BDS may be managed by ERCP; laparoscopic or open surgery.¹⁷



Technology Prevails

Open surgery is invasive, and BDS laparoscopic procedures are time consuming and technically demanding. The authors of "Diagnosis and Management of Choledocholithiasis in the Golden age of Imaging, Endoscopy and Laparoscopy" conclude that BDS management by ERCP often depends on the availability of instrumentation, personnel and skills.¹⁸ In addition, the authors indicate that while there is no consensus about therapeutic BDS management, accuracy, invasiveness, potential therapeutic use and costs of imaging techniques used to diagnose BDS are minimal and the therapeutic implications for ERCP are non-negligible for morbidity and mortality.¹⁹ ◆



References

1. Everhart JE, Khare M, Hill M, Maurer KR. Prevalence and ethnic differences in gallbladder disease in the United States. Gastroenterology 1999; 117: 632-639 [PMID: 10464139]

2. Ibid

3. Aerts R, Penninckx F. The burden of gallstone disease in Europe. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2003; 18 Suppl 3: 49-53 [PMID:

14531741]

4. World J Gastroenterol 2014 October 7; 20(37): 13382-13401

5. Menezes N, et. al. Prospective analysis of a scoring system to predict choledocholithiasis. Br J Surg 2000; 87: 1176-1181

6. Videhult P, Sandblom G, Rasmussen IC. How reliable is intraoperative cholangiography as a method for detecting common bile duct stones? : A prospective population-based study on 1171 patients. Surg Endosc 2009; 23: 304-312

7. Borzellino G, et. al. Treatment for retained [corrected] common bile duct stones during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: the rendezvous technique. Arch Surg 2010; 145:

8. Sarli L, Costi R, Gobbi S, Sansebastiano G, Roncoroni L. Asymptomatic bile duct stones: selection criteria for intravenous cholangiography and/or endoscopic retrogradecholangiography prior to laparoscopic cholecystectomy. EurJ Gastroenterol Hepatol 2000; 12: 1175-1180

9. Acosta JM, Ledesma CL. Gallstone migration as a cause ofacute pancreatitis. N Engl J Med 1974; 290: 484-487

10. Peters JH, et.al. Safety and efficacy of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. A prospective analysis of 100 initial patients. Ann Surg 1991; 213: 3-12

11. Soper NJ, et.al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The new 'gold standard'? Arch Surg 1992; 127: 917-921; discussion 921-923

12. 17 Kiviluoto T, Sirén J, Luukkonen P, Kivilaakso E. Randomised trial of laparoscopic versus open cholecystectomy for acute and gangrenous cholecystitis. Lancet 1998; 351: 321-325

13. ASGE Standards of Practice Committee, The role of endoscopy in the evaluation of suspected choledocholithiasis. Gastrointest Endosc 2010; 71: 1-9

14. www.clevelandclinicmeded.com/ medicalpubs/diseasemanagement/hepatology/gallbladder-biliary-tract-disease/

15. http://acgmeetings.gi.org/ pdfs/09pgcourse/ACG2009PG1014.pdf 16. Maple JT, et. al The role of endoscopy in the management of choledocholithiasis. Gastrointest Endosc 2011; 74: 731-744

17. World J Gastroenterol 2014 October 7; 20(37): 13382-13401 18. Ibid

19. Ong TZ, et. al. Complications of endoscopic retrograde cholangiography in the post- MRCP era: a tertiary center experience. World J Gastroenterol 2005; 11: 5209-5212

Editor's note: Readers are invited to submit market data and trend questions to Maria Shepherd. Periodically, selected questions will be presented in this column, with answers from Maria.

Maria Shepherd has 20 years of leadership experience in medical device/life-science marketing in small startups and top-tier companies. Following a career including roles as vice president of marketing for Oridion Medical (acquired by Covidien), director of marketing for Philips Medical and senior management roles at Boston Scientific Corp., she founded Data Decision Group. Shepherd recently was appointed to the board of the ALIGO Healthcare Investment Committee. She can be reached at (617) 548-9892, mshepherd@ddecisiongroup.com, www. ddecisiongroup.com, or followed on Twitter @MedTechResearch.